

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,872	11/17/2003	Diane M. Ruezinsky	MONS:074US	5189
46795 75	590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400			KALLIS, RUSSELL	
AUSTIN, TX 78745			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/715,872	RUEZINSKY ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Russell Kallis	1638
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Renly	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10,12-14,16,17,19-21 and 28-30 is/a (4a) Of the above claim(s) 14,16 and 17 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10,12,13,19-21 and 28-30 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ected.	
Application	on Papers		
9)□ 1 10)⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/21/04;6/06/05</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	atent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-13, 19-21, 28-30 and 36; and SEQ ID NO: 147 in the reply filed on 6/30/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Group II claims should be examined with Group I claims because the food products of Group II would have the genetic material claimed in Group I. This is not found persuasive because the claims do not state that the genetic material of Group I is actually in the food products of Group II. For example an isolate of starch or protein would not necessarily contain DNA or a fermented beverage i.e. a food product like beer would not contain the DNA as well. If Applicant were to amend the claims to recite that the DNA is comprised by those product claims of Group II, then Group II claims would be rejoined with Group I. Further, Applicant has traversed the restriction to a single nucleotide sequence because both SEO ID NO: 2 and 3 share sequence similarities of 77-80% over 'substantial portions', and that presumably, a search can be made of all three sequences without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because searching two extra sequences presumed to have the same activity as the elected sequence absent any evidence or proof that SEQ ID NO: 2 or 3 would has the same activity as that of SEQ ID NO: 147 would require more time and would be a serious burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 11, 15, 18, 22-27 and 31-36 are cancelled. Claims 1-10, 12-14, 16-17, 19-21, 28-30 are pending. Claims 14 and 16-17 are withdrawn. Claims 1-10, 12-13, 19-21 and 28-30 are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 10, in line 3 recites the broad recitation, "a chimeric gene comprising a polynucleotide", and the claim also recites in line 5, "said polynucleotide comprises at least a portion of the gene" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Art Unit: 1638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 19, 21 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker A. et al. The Plant Cell; Vol. 11, pp. 1337-1349.

The claims are broadly drawn to unspecified fragments of SEQ ID NO: 147, sequences that have at least 70% identity to SEQ ID NO: 147, sequences that hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 147 under conditions of unspecified high stringency, vectors and expression cassettes comprising said sequences, methods of increasing oil or protein content by transformation therewith or by disrupting a function of a protein in the phenylpropanoid pathway, and plants thereof.

Walker teaches a TTG1 mutant *Arabidopsis* plant that is a mutant in trichome differentiation and anthocyanin biosynthesis i.e. lacking trichomes and purple pigmentation in the seed coat that is a disruption or suppression of a protein in the phenylpropanoid pathway; and isolation of the TTG1 gene that would hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 147 and comprises a fragment of unspecified length of SEQ ID NO: 147; and complementation of the TTG1 mutant with the isolated wild type *Arabidopsis* TTG1 genomic clone using a vector and expression cassette wherein the genomic fragment of the *Arabidopsis* TTG1 clone comprises a seed specific or tissue specific promoter (See Results and Discussion sections); and wherein reduced protein or oil content is an inherent feature of a plant having a disrupted phenylpropanoid pathway thus the reference teaches all the limitations of claims 1-5, 7-9, 19, 21 and 28-30.

Art Unit: 1638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-13, 19, 21 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker A. et al. The Plant Cell; Vol. 11, pp. 1337-1349 in view of WO 99/00501 published 7 January 1999.

The claims are broadly drawn to unspecified fragments of SEQ ID NO: 147, sequences that have at least 70% identity to SEQ ID NO: 147, sequences that hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 147 under conditions of unspecified high stringency, vectors and expression cassettes comprising said sequences, methods of increasing oil or protein content by transformation therewith or by disrupting a function of a protein in the phenylpropanoid pathway, and plants thereof.

Walker teaches a TTG1 mutant *Arabidopsis* plant that is a mutant in trichome differentiation and anthocyanin biosynthesis i.e. lacking trichomes and purple pigmentation in the seed coat that is a disruption or suppression of a protein in the phenylpropanoid pathway; and isolation of the TTG1 gene that would hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 147 and comprises a fragment of unspecified length of SEQ ID NO: 147; and complementation of the TTG1 mutant with the isolated wild type *Arabidopsis* TTG1 genomic clone using a vector and expression cassette wherein the genomic fragment of the *Arabidopsis* TTG1 clone comprises a seed specific or tissue specific promoter (See Results and Discussion sections); and wherein reduced protein or oil

Application/Control Number: 10/715,872

Art Unit: 1638

content is an inherent feature of a plant having a disrupted phenylpropanoid pathway thus the reference teaches all the limitations of claims 1-5, 7-9, 19, 21 and 28-30.

Walker does not teach isolation of a polynucleotide sequence that has at least 70% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 147 and that encodes a transcription factor or construction of a chimeric gene sufficient to suppress expression of TTG1.

WO 99/00501 teaches the *Arabidopsis* TTG1 sequence (page 9) and TTG1 homologues *Matthiola incana*, cotton and tobacco; and directs one of ordinary skill in the art to the isolation of TTG1 homologues from the related and commercially important *Brassica napus* species (page 24); and transformation of pants with a chimeric gene that would disrupt TTG1 (see pages 43-44 and Claims 13, 39, 40 and 41).

It would have been obvious to modify the invention of Walker to further isolate DNA sequence that have at least 70% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 147 and to transform plants with a chimeric construct comprising a portion of a TTG1 gene sufficient to suppress the endogenous expression of a TTG1 gene as taught in the WO 99/00501 document. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated by the teachings of Walker that TTG1 was an important regulator of gene expression in plants and of commercial value for the isolation of TTG1 homologues from related Brassica species as taught by WO 99/00501 and that one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate the value of transformating plants withTTG1 to suppress or alter or manipulate a phenotype of commercial intrest; and would have a reasonable expectation of success given the success of Walker and the WO 99/00501 document in isolating TTG1 genes from plants and that transformation of plant species such as soybean or canole were known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,872

Art Unit: 1638

All claims are rejected.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kallis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0798. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Russell Kallis Ph.D. November 8, 2006

> RUSSELL P. KALLIS, PH.D... PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachement It 1

RESULT 7 ATH133743 ATH133743 DNA LOCUS 5777 bp linear "PLN 26-JAN-2001 DEFINITION Arabidopsis thaliana ttgl gene. ACCESSION AJ133743 AJ133743.1 GI:5123715 VERSION KEYWORDS anthocyanin biosynthesis; trichome initiation; ttg1 gene. Arabidopsis thaliana (thale cress) SOURCE Arabidopsis thaliana ORGANISM Eukaryota; Viridiplantae; Streptophyta; Embryophyta; Tracheophyta; Spermatophyta; Magnoliophyta; eudicotyledons; core eudicotyledons; rosids; eurosids II; Brassicales; Brassicaceae; Arabidopsis. REFERENCE Walker, A.R., Davison, P.A., Bolognesi-Winfield, A.C., James, C.M., **AUTHORS** Srinivasan, N., Blundell, T.L., Esch, J.J., Marks, M.D. and Gray, J.C. TITLE The TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA1 locus, which regulates trichome differentiation and anthosyanin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis, encodes a WD40 repeat protein JOURNAL Plant Cell 11 (7), 1337-1350 (1999) PUBMED 1-04 02 4 3 3 (bases 1 to 5777) REFERENCE AUTHORS Walker, A.R. TITLE Direct Submission JOURNAL Submitted (16-MAR-1999) Walker A.R., Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing St., Cambridge, CB2 3EA, UNITED KINGDOM FEATURES Location/Qualifiers source 1. .5777 /organism="Arabidopsis thaliana" /mol type="genomic DNA" /db xref="taxon:3702" /chromosome="V" /map="29.5" /ecotype="Landsberg erecta" gene 3271. .5289 /gene="ttg1" join(3271. .4407,5108. .5289) mR NA /gene="ttg1" exon 3271. .4407 /gene="ttg1" /number=1 CDS 3381. .4406 /gene="ttg1" /function="regulation of trichome initiation and anthocyanin biosynthesis" /codon_start=1 /product="Ttgl protein" /protein id="CAB45372.1" /db xref="GI:5123716" /db_xref="GOA:Q9XGN1" /db xref="UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot:Q9XGN1" translation="MDNSAPDSLSRSETAVTYDSPYPLYAMAFSSLRSSSGHRIAVG/ FLEDYNNRIDILSFDSDSMTVKPLPNLSFEHPYPPTKLMFSPPSLRRPSSGDLLASSG DFLRLWEINEDSSTVEPISVLNNSKTSEFCAPLTSFDWNDVEPKRLGTCSIDTTCTIW DIEKSVVETQLIAHDKEVHDIAWGEARVFASVSADGSVRIFDLRDKEHSTIIYESPQP DTPLLRLAWNKQDLRYMATILMDSNKVVILDIRSPTMPVAELERHQASVNAIAWAPQS CKHI CSGGDDTQALIWELPTVAGPNGI DPMSVYSAGSEI NQLQWSSSQPDWIGI AFAN KMQLLRV" intron 4408. .5107 /gene="ttg1" /number=1

exon 5108. .5289 /gene="ttg1" /number=2

ORIGIN

Query Match '65.7%; Score 679.6; DB 4; Length 5777; Best Local Similarity 79.6%; Pred. No. 3.3e-126; Matches 821; Conservative 0; Mismatches 199; Indels 12; Gaps 1: 11 CCATGGACAACTCAGCTCCGGACTCTTTACCTAGATCGGAAACCGCCGTCACCTACGACT 70 Qу 3379 CCATGGATAATTCAGCTCCAGATTCGTTATCCAGATCGGAAACCGCCGTCACATACGACT 3438 Db 71 CTCCGTACCCGCTCTACGCGATGTCCTT------CTCCTCCTCCACCCACCGAA 118 Qу 1 11 11 11 11 1111111 111 1 11 111 111 3439 CACCATATCCACTCTACGCCATGGCTTTCTCTCTCTCCGCTCATCCTCCGGTCACAGAA 3498 Db 119 TCGCCGTCGGGAGCTTCCTCGAGGACTACAACAACCGCATCGACATCCTCTCCTTCGACT 178 Qy 3499 TCGCCGTCGGAAGCTTCCTCGAAGATTACAACCACCGCATCGACATTCTCTCTTTTCGATT 3558 Db Qу 3559 CCGATTCAATGACCGTTAAGCCTCTCCCGAATCTCTCCTTCGAGCATCCTTATCCTCCAA 3618 Db Qу Db 299 CCTCCGGCGACTTCCTCCGCCTCTGGGAGGTCAACGAAGACTCCTCCTCCGCGGAGCCAG 358 Qy 3679 CCTCCGGCGATTTCCTCCGTCTTTGGGAAATTAACGAAGATTCATCAACCGTCGAGCCAA 3738 Db 359 TATCGGTCCTCAACACAGCAAGACGAGCGAGTTCTGCGCGCCGCTGACCTCCTTCGACT 418 Qу Db 419 GGAACGACGTGGAGCCGAAGCGGTTAGGCACGTGCAGCATCGACACCACGTGCACGATCT 478 Qу 3799 GGAACGATGTAGAGCCGAAACGTCTCGGAACTTGTAGTATTGATACGACGTGTACGATTT 3858 Db 479 GGGACGTGGAGAGGTCCGTGGTGGAGACGCAGCTCATCGCGCACGACAAGGAGGTCCACG 538 Qу Db 3859 GGGATATTGAGAAGTCTGTTGTTGAGACTCAGCTTATAGCTCATGATAAAGAGGTTCATG 3918 Qу 539 ACATCGCGTGGGGGGGGGCTAGGGTTTTCGCCTCGGTCTCCGCCGACGGATCGGTGAGGA 598 Db 3919 ACATTGCTTGGGGAGAAGCTAGGGTTTTCGCATCAGTCTCTGCTGATGGATCCGTTAGGA 3978 599 TCTTCGATCTGCGCGACAAGGAGCACTCCACCATCATCTACGAGAGCCCCCAGCCCGATA 658 Qу 3979 TCTTTGATTTACGTGATAAGGAACATTCTACAATCATTTACGAGAGTCCTCAGCCTGATA 4038 Db 659 CGCCGCTCCTGAGGCTCGCGTGGAACAAGCAGGACTTGCGGTGTATGGCCACGATTCTGA 718 Qу Db 4039 CGCCTTTGTTAAGACTTGCTTGGAACAAACAAGATCTTAGATATATGGCTACGATTTTGA 4098 719 TGGATTCGAATAAGGTTGTCATTCTCGACATTCGATCGCCGACGATGCCGGTCGCCGAGC 778 Qу Dh 4099 TGGATTCTAATAAGGTTGTGATTCTCGATATTCGTTCGCCGACTATGCCTGTTGCTGAGC 4158

